Improving Antibiotic Stewardship at JCMC Through Utilization of the **PEN-FAST** Screening Tool for Surgical Patients with **Documented** Penicillin Allergy

Russell Lynn Memorial Student Lecture Series

Hannah Mandler, BSN, RN, CCRN, RRNA Patrick Miller, BSN, RN, CCRN, RRNA Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, School of Nursing PI: Angelo Llanes, MSN, CRNA DNP Chair: Dr. Michael McLaughlin, DNP, CRNA, APN October 5, 2023



Background and Significance

• **12.8%** of patients have a penicillin (PCN) allergy documented in their EHR (Zhou et al., 2016)

- 20% of entries documenting ADRs for penicillin included no reaction description at all (Inglis et al., 2017)
- **95%** of patients labeled as PCN allergic can be safely de-labelled (Blumenthal et al., 2019), though many providers do not ever investigate the allergy further as a true IgE mediated reaction



Risks to Patients

- Patients with a PCN allergy label face increased risks of developing:
 - C difficile by 26%
 - VRE by **30%**
 - MRSA by **69%**
- A patient with a PCN allergy label receiving a second-line antibiotic has a 50% increased risk of developing a surgical site infection.



Synthesis of Evidence

True anaphylactic reactions to PCN are rare → **0.001%** with IV PCN (Blumenthal, et al., 2018)

 90% of allergic patients can safely tolerate PCN (Blumenthal, et al., 2018)

Baseless link between PCN and cephalosporin → only **3%** of patients are cross sensitive (Blumenthal et al., 2017) PCN allergy label treated with a secondline antibiotic leads to:

 Increased lengths of hospital stay

 Increased rates of hospital readmission (Inglis et al., 2017) Traditional PCN allergy testing is resource intensive:

- \$220 for PCN skin test
- 3 hours to administer (Blumenthal et al., 2018)
- 99% negative predictive value (Stone et al., 2019).

PEN-FAST tool offers a cheaper alternative:

- \$0 cost per survey
- <4 minutes

administer (Copaescu et al., 2022)

 96% negative predictive value (Trubiano et al., 2020)

1766 E

PEN-FAST: Penicillin Allergy Risk



*Total points \leq 2 \rightarrow low or very low risk \rightarrow recommend proceeding with cephalosporin administration

The Mission

- Educate anesthesia providers on how to utilize the penicillin allergy screening tool and encourage its use when caring for adult, nonobstetrical patients with a documented PCN allergy for surgery.
- In doing so, we aim to reduce the amount of second-line antibiotics used in patients with reported penicillin allergies by utilizing the PEN-FAST antibiotic screening tool.



jection, L

Methodology: An Overview

• Setting: 348 bed tertiary care teaching center

1766

- **Population:** anesthesia providers caring for adult surgical patients reporting a penicillin allergy
- Inclusion Criteria: all physician anesthesiologists and CRNAs providing care to adult, non-obstetrical, surgical patients with documented penicillin allergy
- **Exclusion Criteria:** anesthesia providers providing care to patients with history of an IgE-mediated reaction or serious drug rash with systemic symptoms, blistering disorders (i.e. Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis) and acute interstitial nephritis
- **Sampling Type:** plan to utilize convenience sampling to identify anesthesia providers and to perform retrospective chart review
- Participant Recruitment: no active recruitment is required
- **Consent Procedure:** no formal consent is expected since investigators are conducting an education in-service and chart review
- Participant Costs & Compensation: no financial compensation will be given to participants and there are no costs required

Plan for Data Collection & Analysis

- After 8 weeks of implementation, PI and co-investigators will conduct a retrospective chart review to collect post-implementation data and compare to baseline data
- Goal is to determine if there is an increase in first line (i.e. cefazolin) antibiotic use within the population of patients that have documented penicillin allergy **AND** score 2 or less on PEN-FAST
 - PCN allergy status
 - PEN-FAST score
 - Antibiotic administered intraoperatively
- Data will be statistically analyzed using SPSS software to compare baseline and post implementation data





1766



- Scenario: A 35 yearold female reports having a reaction to PCN 2 years ago where she experienced severe diarrhea and GI upset. No treatment or IV fluids were required.
- Thoughts?





- Scenario: A 35 yearold female reports having a reaction to PCN 2 years ago where she experienced severe diarrhea and GI upset. No treatment or IV fluids were required.
- Thoughts?
- This patient scores a
 2 and would be recommended to receive cefazolin as surgical prophylaxis.





- Scenario: A 56 year old male reports having a reaction to PCN 20 years ago where he experienced a mild skin rash without other symptoms or treatment.
- Thoughts?





- Scenario: A 56 yearold male reports having a reaction to PCN 20 years ago where he experienced a mild skin rash without other symptoms or treatment.
- Thoughts?
- This patient scores a
 0 and would be recommended to receive cefazolin as surgical prophylaxis.





- Scenario: A 40 year old male reports having a reaction to PCN 10 years ago where he experienced wheezing and swelling in the back of his throat. He required hospitalization for treatment.
- Thoughts?





- Scenario: A 40 year old male reports having a reaction to PCN 10 years ago where he experienced wheezing and swelling in the back of his throat. He required hospitalization for treatment.
- Thoughts?
- This patient displayed signs of anaphylaxis and/or angioedema and scores a 3. He would NOT be recommended to receive cefazolin.



Recording PEN-FAST Scores



PEN		
	Penicillin allergy reported by patient	If yes, proceed with assessmen
F	Five years or less since reaction	2 points
А	Anaphylaxis or angioedema	
	OR	2 points
5	Severe cutaneous adverse reaction	
т	Treatment required for reaction	1 point
		Total points
	Interpretation	
Points		llergy test (<1%)
1-2	.ow risk of positive penicillin allergy	y test (5%)
3	Moderate risk of positive penicillin	allergy test (20%)
4 1	ligh risk of positive penicillin allerg	y test (50%)

- Hospital site switched EHR to Epic in April 2023, PEN-FAST tool was launched on EPIC in October 2023
- When interviewing a PCN allergic patient, mark and tally the patient's PEN-FAST score and decide which antibiotic to give based on the score
- Information stripped of patient identification and stored securely in a password protected Excel spreadsheet

What Can the Data Tell Us?

- Is there an increase in first line (i.e. cefazolin) antibiotic use within the population of patients that have documented penicillin allergy AND score less than 3 on PEN-FAST?
- How frequently was the PEN-FAST screening tool used and how many patients were given a first line antibiotic as surgical prophylaxis?





Data Collection & Analysis

- Baseline data collected in a retrospective chart review of from August to October 2023.
- Post-implementation data collected from October to December 2023
- Excel and SPSS used to assess impact of implementation on use of PEN-FAST tool and administration rates of appropriate first line antibiotics by anesthesia providers



Results

Baseline

Data revealed 56.7% of ⁴⁰
 PCN allergic patients received cefazolin

60

50

20

Post-intervention

- Data showed 45.9% of PCN allergic patients received cefazolin
- Pearson chi-squared test: no statistically significant change in cefazolin administration rates (p=0.154).



Rates of Antibiotic Administration Among Penicillin Allergic Surgical Patients

Chi-Square Tests					
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.035 ^a	1	.154		
Continuity Correction ^b	1.626	1	.202		
Likelihood Ratio	2.039	1	.153		
Fisher's Exact Test				.175	.101
N of Valid Cases	175				





Discussion

- More data is needed
- Surprisingly high rate of cefazolin administration by anesthesia providers at baseline
 still viewed as a positive finding
- Results of this QI project were not statistically significant
- Using a new tool takes time





Limitations

- Short timeframe
- Small sample size
- Limited scope of "appropriate antibiotic"
- Newly adopted EHR



Implications & Recommendations

- Close the gap between knowledge and practice
- Continue to improve patient outcomes and reduce cost
- Continue staff education
- Increase timeframe and sample size



Make PEN-FAST a hard stop



Future Scholarship

1766

- Include all cephalosporin administration in data analysis
- Future investigators can replicate this project using a larger sample size spanning a longer time frame
- Education can expand to include additional departments such as clinical pharmacy and perioperative nursing
- Investigate incidence of adverse outcomes SSI rates or reactions to cefazolin administration in this population

Thank you for your participation! Questions?







Contact Us

- Principal Investigator: Angelo Llanes, MSN, CRNA
- Co-Investigator: Hannah Mandler, BSN, RN, RRNA
 - Email: <u>hml65@sn.rutgers.edu</u>
 - Phone: 201-675-7445
- Co-Investigator: Patrick Miller, BSN, RN, RRNA
 - Email: pm835@sn.rutgers.edu
 - Phone: 646-468-3409

References

1766

Blumenthal, K. G., Peter, J. G., Trubiano, J. A., & Phillips, E. J. (2019). Antibiotic allergy. *The Lancet*, 393(10167), 183–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32218-9

Blumenthal, K. G., Ryan, E. E., Li, Y., Lee, H., Kuhlen, J. L., & Shenoy, E. S. (2017). The impact of a reported penicillin allergy on surgical site infection risk. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 66(3), 329–336. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix794

Copaescu, A.-M., James, F., Vogrin, S., Rose, M., Chua, K., Holmes, N. E., Turner, N. A., Stone, C., Phillips, E., & Trubiano, J. (2022). Use of a penicillin allergy clinical decision rule to enable direct oral penicillin provocation: An international multicentre randomised control trial in an adult population (palace): Study protocol. *BMJ Open*, *12*(8), e063784. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063784

Inglis, J. M., Caughey, G. E., Smith, W., & Shakib, S. (2017). Documentation of penicillin adverse drug reactions in electronic health records: Inconsistent use of allergy and intolerance labels. *Internal Medicine Journal*, 47(11), 1292–1297. https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.13558

Stone, C. A., Trubiano, J., Coleman, D. T., Rukasin, C. F., & Phillips, E. J. (2019). The challenge of de-labeling penicillin allergy. *Allergy*, 75(2), 273–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13848

Trubiano, J. A., Vogrin, S., Chua, K. L., Bourke, J., Yun, J., Douglas, A., Stone, C. A., Yu, R., Groenendijk, L., Holmes, N. E., & Phillips, E. J. (2020). Development and validation of a penicillin allergy clinical decision rule. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, *180*(5), 745. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0403

Zhou, L., Dhopeshwarkar, N., Blumenthal, K. G., Gross, F., Topaz, M., Slight, S. P., & Bates, D. W. (2016). Drug allergies documented in electronic health records of a

large healthcare system. *Allergy*, 71(9), 1305-1313. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12881

Thank you for your time!

